

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,897	04/18/2001	Stephen Allott	20408002000	8333
20350	7590 05/05/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			GLENN, KIMBERLY E	
TWO EMBA	RCADERO CENTER OOR		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		2817	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
		ALLOTT, STEPHEN				
Office Action Summary	09/837,897					
omoo nodon camma,	Examin r	Art Unit	AN			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Kimberly E Glenn	2817	<u>.</u>			
Period for Reply	pears on all cover she t what all c	rresp nuence au	uress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u> August 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			5D 4 4044 D			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	3.450)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	<i>)-</i> 152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 23-31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant recites in claims the limitation of a loop filter coupled to the output of the charge pump. Examiner cannot find support for the loop filter in the specification, drawing or original claims. With regards to claims 27-31,applicant recites in claim 27 the limitation receiving the output signal from the loop filter with a second filter. Examiner cannot find support for this limitation in the specification, drawing or original claims. With regards to claims 29-31,applicant recites in claims 29 the limitation the frequency characteristic of the second filter being the cutoff frequency of the second filter. Examiner cannot find support for this limitation in the specification, drawing or original claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2817

Applicant discloses a control voltage used to tune a filter in claim 24. Which control voltage is applicant referring to the control voltage disclosed in claims 21 or the control voltage disclosed in claims 23?

Applicant discloses a filter in claim 24. Which filter is applicant referring to the filter disclosed in claims 18 or the loop filter disclosed in claims 23?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nauta US Patent 5,117,205 in view of Brahmbhatt US Patent 4,442,481 (of record).

Nauta discloses a voltage controlled oscillator 7 comprising; a first gm cell G1; a second gm cell G2 having a noninverting input coupled to a noninverting output of the first gm cell, an inverting input coupled to an inverting output of the first gm cell, a noninverting output coupled to an inverting input of the first gm cell, and an inverting output coupled to a noninverting input of the first gm cell; a first capacitance C1 coupled between the noninverting output and inverting output of the first gm cell; a second capacitance C2 coupled between the noninverting output and inverting output of the second gm cell; and a filter 1 comprising a third gm cell , wherein the first, second and third gm cell G3-G9 each comprises a variable resistance. The variable resistance comprises a plurality of MOS devices coupled is series. The gate of the MOS device is

Art Unit: 2817

configured to receive a control voltage (Vi+ Vi-). In argument, applicant agrues that MOS transistors are variable resistances. (Figure 1-3 and 5b and column 7, line 40 through column 9, line 47 and column 13, lines 21-47)

Thus, Nauta is shown to teach all the limitation of the claim with the exceptions of the variable resistance comprising a native MOS device

Brahmbhatt teaches that native MOSFETS are art-recognized equivalent to enhancement mode MOSFETS but have a very low threshold voltage that additionally does not require additional doping; therefore, unwanted manufacturing variations are reduced (see col. 9, lines I - 20).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have substituted the are recognized equivalent native MOSFETS as taught by Brahmbhatt in place of the generic MOSFETS in the transconductance cell of Nauta figure 2 because such a modification would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent MOSFETS that would have advantageously not required additional doping in an integrated structure.

In light of the 35 USC 112 rejection above, claims 22-26 and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nauta US Patent 5,117,205 in view of Brahmbhatt US Patent 4,442,481 (of record) in view of Chen US Patent 5,463,352.

Nauta in combination with Brahmbhatt discloses a voltage controlled oscillator comprising; a first gm cell; a second gm cell having a noninverting input coupled to a noninverting output of the first gm cell, an inverting input coupled to an inverting output

Art Unit: 2817

of the first gm cell, a noninverting output coupled to an inverting input of the first gm cell, and an inverting output coupled to a noninverting input of the first gm cell; a first capacitance coupled between the noninverting output and inverting output of the first gm cell; a second capacitance coupled between the noninverting output and inverting output of the second gm cell; and a filter comprising a third gm cell, wherein the first, second and third gm cell each comprises a variable resistance, the variable resistance comprises native MOS devices. The variable resistance comprises a plurality of native MOS devices coupled is series. The gate of the native MOS device is configured to receive a control voltage. Nauta further teach a phase locked loop connected to the voltage-controlled oscillator.

Nauta and Brahmbhatt are shown to teach all the limitation of the claim with the exception of the phase locked loop comprising of a reference signal, a phase/ frequency detector, a charge pump, and a loop filter.

Chen disclose in figure 1 a typical phase locked loop comprising of a reference signal, a phase/ frequency detector, a charge pump, and a loop filter.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to substituted the are recognized equivalent phase locked loop shown in Chen in place of the generic phase locked loop disclosed in figure 2 of Nauta because such a modification would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent phase locked loops.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly E Glenn whose telephone number is (571)-272-1761. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimberly E Glenn

Examiner

Art Unit 2817

keg

Robert Pascal

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800